

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/684,633	KOPRESKI, MICHAEL S.	
	<b>Examiner</b> FRANK W. LU	<b>Art Unit</b> 1634	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** After filing RCE

(1) FRANK W. LU. (3) \_\_\_\_.  
 (2) Mr. Kevin Noonan (Reg. No.35,303). (4) \_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 18 November 2009

**Time:** 2 pm

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: *Applicant agreed with the examiner's amendments.*

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

33-35

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Mr. Noonan agreed with the examiner's amendments.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Frank W Lu /  
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1634

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)